

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/063,092	03/19/2002	Steven Thomas DiLodovico	201-0486 FAM 8178	
28549	7590 01/29/2003			
KEVIN G. MIERZWA			EXAMINER	
	RAPH ROAD, SUITE 25	50	MANCHO, RONNIE M	
SOUTHFIELD, MI · 48034			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3663	
			DATE MAILED: 01/29/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/063,092	DILODOVICO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ronnie Mancho	3663				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 h	<u> 1arch 2002</u> .					
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3663

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-7, 10-14, 17-21 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Turnbull et al (6166698).

Regarding claim 1, Turnbull et al (col. 25, lines 2-48) disclose a real time stamp synchronization system for an automotive vehicle comprising:

a vehicle clock 156 (fig. 6, col. 16, lines 42-46) storing a current time (col. 25, lines 16-48);

a time receiver 115 (fig. 6, col. 7, lines 66 to col. 8, lines 1-9) receiving a real time signal from a time center (GPS satellites); and

a collision system (black box, i.e. data recorder) controller 110 (col. 25, lines 16-48) electrically coupled to said vehicle clock 156 (fig. 6, col. 16, lines 42-46) and said time receiver 115, said collision controller 110 synchronizing said current time with said real time (col. 25, lines 2-48).

Regarding claim 2, Turnbull et al (col. 25, lines 2-48) disclose the system as in claim 1 wherein said collision system controller 110 synchronizes said real time signal with a time stored on a clocking system (clocks on other vehicles, col. 25, lines 31-40) other than said vehicle clock.

Page 3

Regarding claim 3, Turnbull et al (col. 25, lines 31-40) disclose the system as in claim 1 wherein said collision system controller stores collision event related information synchronized to said real time signal (i.e. clock signal from satellite).

Regarding claim 4, Turnbull et al (col. 25, lines 31-40) disclose the system as in claim 1 further comprising an object detection system (GPS system, col. 7, lines 66 to col. 8, lines 1-13; fig. 6) generating an object detection signal (GPS system, col. 7, lines 66 to col. 8, lines 1-13; fig. 6), said collision system controller 110 electrically coupled to said object detection signal (signal from receiver or clock 115; col. 25, lines 24-67) and storing said object detection signal in synchronization with said real time signal (i.e. clock signal from satellite).

Regarding claim 5, Turnbull et al (col. 25, lines 31-40) disclose the system as in claim 1 further comprising a vehicle sensor complex (see various sensors, figs. 6&7; col. 9, lines 34-66) generating a vehicle sensor complex signal, said collision system controller (col. 25, lines 24-67) electrically coupled to said vehicle sensor complex and storing said vehicle sensor complex signal in synchronization with said real time signal (i.e. clock signal from satellite).

Regarding claim 6, Turnbull et al (col. 25, lines 2-40) disclose the system as in claim 1 further comprising restraints control module (i.e. airbag module) generating a restraints control signal, said collision system controller electrically coupled to said restraints control module and storing said restraints control signal in synchronization with said real time signal (i.e. clock signal from satellite).

Regarding claim 7, Turnbull et al (col. 25, lines 31-40) disclose the system as in claim 1 further comprising a vehicle dynamic controller (application of vehicle brakes, col. 24, lines 60-67) generating a vehicle dynamic signal, said collision system controller 110 electrically coupled

Art Unit: 3663

to said vehicle dynamic controller and storing said vehicle dynamic signal (col. 25, lines 24-57) in synchronization with said real time signal (i.e. clock signal from satellite).

Regarding claim 10, Turnbull et al (col. 25, lines 31-40) disclose the system as in claim 1 further comprising a personal electronic system (e.g. 21, col. 25, lines 61-67; col. 20, lines 44-59) electrically coupled to said collision system controller 110, said personal electronic system synchronizing a personal electronic system clock with said real time signal (time is synchronized since Turnbull calls for time stamping activities in car synchronized to GPS time and stored in hand held receiver to be later retrieved and analyzed).

Regarding claim 11, Turnbull et al disclose the system as in claim 10 wherein said personal electronic system is electrically coupled to said collision system controller110 by a communication transport or port (col. 25, lines 41-67).

Regarding claim 12, Turnbull et al disclose the system as in claim 1 wherein said collision system controller 110 is in wireless communication with one or more vehicle related systems (col. 25, lines 41-67; see for e.g. fig. 1).

Regarding claim 13, Turnbull et al (col. 25, lines 31-40) disclose a collision evaluation system for reconstructing a vehicle collision event comprising:

a real time stamp synchronization system (col. 25, lines 24-57), said real time stamp synchronization system receiving a real time signal from a time center (col. 12, lines 31-43; col. 25, lines 24-40) and synchronizing a vehicle clock to said real time signal, said real time stamp synchronization system generating a vehicle collision event signal corresponding to the collision event in real time (col. 25, lines 24-57); and

Art Unit: 3663

a collision evaluation center (col. 25, lines 12-15; lines 63-67; lines 58-60) in communication with said vehicle, said collision evaluation center storing said vehicle collision event signal (col. 24, lines 61 to col. 25, lines 1-11; col. 25, lines 63-67. Note! As known in the art and expressed by Turnbull, it is inherent that collision data is transmitted from a vehicle and stored remotely at a collision center), said collision evaluation center reconstructing said collision event in response to said vehicle collision event signal (col. 24, lines 61 to col. 25, lines 1-11; col. 25, lines 63-67).

Regarding claim 14, Turnbull et al (col. 25, lines 31-40) disclose the system as in claim 13 wherein said time center includes a satellite.

Regarding claim 17, Turnbull et al disclose a method of real time stamping synchronization of automotive vehicle related systems for an automotive vehicle comprising:

storing a current time on a vehicle clock (col. 25, lines 2-40);

receiving a real time signal (col. 25, lines 31-40); and

synchronizing said current time with said real time signal (col. 25, lines 31-40).

Regarding claim 18, Turnbull et al disclose the method as in claim 17 further comprising synchronizing said real time signal with time stored on a clocking system (clocks on other vehicles, col. 25, lines 31-40) other than said vehicle clock.

Regarding claim 19, Turnbull et al disclose the method as in claim 18 further comprising storing collision event related information synchronized to said real time signal (col. 25, lines 24-40).

Regarding claim 20, Turnbull et al disclose a method of reconstructing a collision event comprising:

Art Unit: 3663

generating and transmitting a real time signal (col. 25, lines 24-40); receiving said real time signal and synchronizing a vehicle clock to said real time signal

(col. 25, lines 31-67);

generating a vehicle collision event signal corresponding to the collision event in real time (col. 25, lines 2-67);

storing said vehicle collision event signal (col. 25, lines 31-40); and reconstructing the collision event in response to said vehicle collision event signal (col. 25, lines 61-67; col. 24, lines 60-67).

Regarding claim 21, Turnbull et al disclose method as in claim 20 further comprising modifying a vehicle related system (air bag deployment, col. 24, lines 61-67) in response to said vehicle collision event signal.

3. Claims 8, 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Turnbull et al in view of Breed (US 2003/0009270).

Regarding claim 8, Turnbull et al (col. 25, lines 31-40) disclose the system as in claim 1, but did not particularly mention an occupant assessment system. However, Breed teaches of a collision system (sections. 0201, 0205, 0206; 0215, 0216, 0219, fig. 3) comprising an occupant assessment system (414, 426) generating an occupant assessment signal (sections 0556 & 0557), a collision system (black box, section 0201) controller 416 electrically coupled to said occupant assessment system (414, 426) and storing said occupant assessment signal (sec. 0557) in synchronization with a real time signal (GPS time, section 0524; abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of vehicle systems to modify the Turnbull et al device as taught by Breed for the purpose determining the well being or health of an occupant

Art Unit: 3663

during an accident in relation to real accurate time. This would be very obvious since Breed (sec. 0558) teaches that his system could be used in conjunction with other systems.

Regarding claim 9, Turnbull et al (col. 25, lines 31-40) disclose the system as in claim 1, but did not particularly mention a telematics system 418 (figs. 3&12). However, Breed teaches of a telematics system (sections 0006-0008, 0362, 0371) electrically coupled to a collision system controller (fig. 3), said telematics system generating and transmitting a vehicle and occupant assessment signal in synchronization with said real time signal (GPS time, section 0524; abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of vehicle systems to modify the Turnbull et al device as taught by Breed for the purpose determining and communicating the well being or health of an occupant during an accident in relation to accurate GPS time. This would be very obvious since Breed (sec. 0558) teaches that his system could be used in conjunction with other systems.

4. Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Turnbull et al (6066698) in view of Jandrell (5526357).

Regarding claim 15, Turnbull disclosed the system as in claim 13, but did not particularly mention a weather station although they disclosed a GPS system which is well known to operate with a weather station. However, Jandrell teaches of a time center (col. 18, lines 16-32; col. 4, lines 10-29) including a weather station (col. 27, lines 17-23). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of vehicle time synchronization to modify the Turnbull device as taught by Jandrell (abstract) for the purpose of providing multilateral information. Note that Turnbull (col. 12, lines 35+) had indicated that reference time could be taken from any source.

Art Unit: 3663

Regarding claim 16, Turnbull disclosed the system as in claim 13, but did not mention a traffic control station. However, Jandrell teaches of a system wherein a time center 400 (col. 18, lines 16-32) includes a traffic control station (col. 27, lines 17-23). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of vehicle time synchronization to modify the Turnbull device as taught by Jandrell (abstract) for the purpose of providing multilateral information including coded accurate traffic control.

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following: 5625556, 5068654, 20020198632, 6405132, 6490515, and 6466849 all disclose a vehicle control system.

### Communication

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronnie Mancho whose telephone number is 703-305-6318. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs:9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Black can be reached on 703-305-9707. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687 for regular communications and 703-305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Art Unit: 3663

Page 9

Ronnie Mancho Examiner Art Unit 3663

January 21, 2003

THOMAS C. E. ACK ENGLANDER

OURERVISORY PATENT ENGLANDER